AUG 2 5 2005

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

Michael N. Milby, Clerk

YU-PING DAVID OYOUNG

VS.

8888

05-300**6** 

TEXAS SYSTEMS & CONTROLS, INC.

# PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 621 et seq., and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. This suit is authorized and instituted pursuant to the Age Discrimination in Employment Act The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked to secure protection of and to redress depravation of rights secured by 29 U.S.C. § 621 et seq. providing for relief against discrimination on the basis of age in employment.
- Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1343 (4); 42 Section 2. 2000e - 5(f) and 28 U.S.C. Sections 2101 and 2102. This suit is authorized and instituted pursuant to Title VII of the Act of Congress known as "The Civil Rights Act of 1964", 42 U.S.C. Sections 2000e et. seq. The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked to secure protection of and to redress depravation of rights secured by (a) 42 U.S.C. Section 2000e et. seq. as amended by the Civil Rights Act of 1991, providing for relief against discrimination in employment, as amended by the Civil Rights Act of 1991.
- Venue is proper in the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 in that the Plaintiff is a resident 3. of the district, the Defendant is doing business in the Houston Division, in this district, and the activities giving rise to the Plaintiff's claim took place in this district.
- 4. The company has continuously and does now employee more than fifteen (15) persons.
- Since at least January 1, 2000, the company has continuously been and is now an employer 5. engaged in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of § 701 (b)(g)(h) of Title VII, 42



U.S.C. Section 2000e (b)(g)(h).

- 6. Within 180 days of the occurrence of the acts which Plaintiff complains, a charge of employment discrimination was filed with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) by Plaintiff herein on or about April 8, 2005, against the company.
- 7. On or about May 31, 2005, Plaintiff received a "Notice of Right to Suit" from the Texas Commission on Human Rights acting as the investigative agency for the EEOC regarding this charge of discrimination EEOC#330-2005-03013 and a civil action is now instituted in the appropriate Federal District Court within ninety (90) days of the date the receipt of said notice.

## **PARTIES**

- 8. Plaintiff, YU-PING DAVID OYOUNG, is an individual residing in Missouri City, Fort Bend County, Texas.
- 9. Defendant, TEXAS SYSTEMS & CONTROLS, INC. has continuously been and is now a Domestic Business Corporation doing business in Tomball, Texas. Defendant may be served via Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested to its registered agent, D. W. Abraham at 24310 Tomball Parkway, Tomball, TX 77064.

#### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 10. Plaintiff was an employee of Defendant. Plaintiff was employed by Texas Systems & Control, Inc. in Tomball, Texas in September of 2004 in a management position of Chief Process Engineer.
- 11. Plaintiff performed his duties successfully as an employee of the Defendant up to the date of his termination.
- 12. On or about November 9, 2004, Plaintiff's employment with Defendant was terminated by Defendant.
- 13. Plaintiff was born on September 9, 1956 and was 49 years old at the time of his termination.
- 14. Plaintiff is informed and believes and therefore alleges that Defendant replaced him in the position, which he formerly held with Defendant with a younger employee that had less experience than Plaintiff in the job.

- 15. The termination of Plaintiff by Defendant was because of Plaintiff's age, which violates 29 U.S.C § 623(a)(1) and therefore entitles Plaintiff to relief under the provisions of 29 U.S.C. §626.
- 16. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant has enacted and effected policies and practices of unlawful and systematic age discrimination against Plaintiff by inter alia:
  - (a) Failing to equalize conditions of employment for Plaintiff as contrasted with comparably trained and qualified younger employees;
  - (b) Adopting unreasonable, unwarranted and arbitrary standards and conditions of employment and advancement designed to discriminate against Plaintiff in favor of younger employees.
- 17. The termination of Plaintiff's employment by Defendant constitutes a willful violation of 29 U.S.C. § 623 and as such entitles Plaintiff to recover double damages.

#### SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 18. Plaintiff was an Asian, national origin, Chinese employee of Defendant. Plaintiff was employed by defendant, Texas Systems & Control, Inc. in Tomball, Texas in September of 2004 in a management position of Chief Process Engineer.
- 19. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff has been discriminated against by Defendant with respect to compensation, terms, conditions, privileges, and opportunities of employment and has been subject to those illegal acts, practices, and conduct alleged in this Complaint.
- 20. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant has enacted and effected policies and practices of unlawful and systematic exclusion of and discrimination against Plaintiff, as an Asian American by inter alia:
  - (a) Failing to equalize conditions of employment for Plaintiff as contrasted with Non-Asian employees;
  - (b) Adopting unreasonable, unwarranted and arbitrary standards and conditions of employment and advancement designed to discriminate against Plaintiff in favor of Non-Asian employees.
  - (c) Terminating Plaintiff because he is Asian, national origin, Chinese.

#### **DAMAGES**

- 21. At the time of his discharge, Plaintiff earned a salary of approximately \$87,000.00 per year, and included a 2 % annual sales bonus, which equaled approximately \$8,000.00, for a total of \$95,000.00 yearly salary.
- 22. Plaintiff would show the court that he has suffered actual damages for lost back wages, insurance benefits, lost future wages, emotional pain and suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish and loss of enjoyment of life as a direct result of Defendant's discriminatory employment practices described above.
- 23. Defendant intentionally inflicted extreme emotional distress upon Plaintiff by intentionally discharging Plaintiff on the basis of his age and race. Plaintiff has suffered extreme emotional distress, embarrassment, severe disappointment, indignation, shame, despair, and public humiliation due to Defendant's discharge of Plaintiff.
- 24. Plaintiff is entitled to recover his reasonable and necessary attorney's fees pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 626 and 42 U.S.C. §2000e et seq.
- 25. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury with respect to all issues triable as of right by jury.
  WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant and in favor of Plaintiff:
  - (a) Requiring that Defendant pay over to Plaintiff the damages suffered by Plaintiff by reason of Defendant's illegal acts and practices, including adjusted back pay and front pay, with interest, and additional amount as liquidated damages;
  - (b) Requiring Defendant pay to Plaintiff damages for mental distress imposed upon Plaintiff through and as a result of the aforementioned discriminatory acts;
  - (c) Requiring Defendant to pay Plaintiff in the nature of double or punitive damages as a result of Defendant's willful discrimination against Plaintiff;
  - (d) Requiring that Defendant pay to Plaintiff his attorney's fees and costs and disbursements incurred in the prosecution of this suit; and such other and further relief to which Plaintiff may be justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

William S. Hommel, Jr. State Bar No. 09934250 3304 S. Broadway, Suite 100 Tyler, Texas 75701 903-596-7100

903-596-7464 Facsimile

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

JS 44 (Rev. 12/96)

# **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other the design of the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS Texas Systems	ΑU	G 2 5	2005	j			
Yu-Ping David Oyoung											
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF FOR Bend (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.							
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, William S. Hommel, 3304 S. Broadway, 5 Tyler, Texas 75701 903-596-7100	ATTORNEYS (IF KN ) - 05 - 3 0 0 3  CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT)  PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT)										
☐1 U.S. Government Plaintiff ☐2 U.S Government Defendant	Federal Question (U.S. Government  D 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizens) in Item III)		C	For Diversity Cases Onlutizen of This State ditizen of Another State ditizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	ly) PT □ 1	□ 1 2 □ 2	Incorporated or of Business Ir Incorporated an of Business Ir Foreign Nation	Principa n This Stand Princip	l Place ate pal Place	PTF	
	noved from 3 Rema e Court Appel (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE E	ed or □5 and	Transferred from Judge from								
CONTRACT  110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 1310 Megoliable Instrument 1310 Recovery of Overpayment 1311 Medicare Act 1312 Recovery of Defaulted 1312 Recovery of Defaulted 1313 Recovery of Overpayment 1314 Recovery of Overpayment 1315 Recovery of Overpayment 1316 Stockholders' Suits 1316 Stockholders' Suits 1316 Stockholders' Suits 1317 Contract Product Liability 1317 REAL PROPERTY 1318 Lease & Ejectment 1319 Contract Product Liability 1319 Rent Lease & Ejectment 1320 Foreclosure 1321 All Other Real Property 1322 VI. CAUSE OF ACTION 1323 VI. CAUSE OF ACTION 1324 Act of Cause 1324 Act of Cause 1326 Miller Act of Cause 1327 Miller Act of Cause 1328 U.S.C. Section 1344	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 401 Voting CIVIL RIGHTS  441 Voting X142 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Weffare 440 Other Civil Rights  (CITE THE US CIVIL ST/DO NOT CITE JURISDIC) 3(4); 42 Section 200	tional unless diver 20e-5(f) and 28	y - y - y - y - y - y - y - y - y - y -		ards actions ort-Act	422 App   423 Witz 28     423 PROPEI     820 Pate   840 Propei     861 HIA   862 Black     863 DIW   864 SSI     865 RSI     870 Tax or E     871 IRS 26     EMENT OF C	USC 157 RTY RIGHTS Dynghts ent demark  SECURITY (1395ff) ck Lung (923) /C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI (405(g)) L TAX SUITS ess (U.S. Plaintiff befendant) - Third Party USC 7609  CAUSE.  Suit is author	400		Banking //CC Rates //C	ent ss/etc. d and s tues/ on Act rs t on Act mination to tate
VII of the Act of Congr Court is invoked to se VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CASE( IF ANY	CHECK IF THIS IS UNDER F.R.C.P.:  (See instructions):	to redress depr	avation			relief ag		ninatio	n in em demande	ploym	nplaint:
DATE August 24, 2005			ATTORN	NEX OR DIECORD		<del>_</del>	S. Homme	l, Jr.			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT #	AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP	/	JUDGE			MAG.	JUDGE			